

Kitsap Transit

SR 305 Corridor Enhanced Transit

Alternatives Analysis Technical Study

Final Report

May 2011

Nelson | Nygaard
consulting associates



WANNAMAKER CONSULTING INC.
ENVIRONMENT • COMMUNITY • PEOPLE



Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Executive Summary | 1 |
| 1.1. Corridor Description..... | 1 |
| 1.2. Problem Statement..... | 3 |
| 1.3. Purpose and Need for the Project..... | 4 |
| 1.4. Study Guidance and Public Participation | 5 |
| 1.5. Mode and Alignment Alternatives Considered | 6 |
| 1.6. Screening and Evaluation Process | 9 |
| 1.7. Definition of Alternatives | 11 |
| 1.8. Tier III Evaluation Criteria and Alternatives Evaluation..... | 16 |
| 1.9. Next Steps..... | 18 |
| 2. Study Overview | 21 |
| 2.1. Study Guidance and Participation..... | 21 |
| 2.2. Planning Context and Background..... | 23 |
| 2.3. Corridor Conditions..... | 33 |
| 2.4. Study Area Problem Statement | 34 |
| 2.5. Study Area Purpose and Need Statement | 36 |
| 2.6. Additional Data Collection..... | 37 |
| 3. Mode and Alignments Alternatives Considered | 39 |
| 3.1. Mode Alternatives..... | 39 |
| 3.2. Alignment Alternatives | 39 |
| 3.2.1. Segment A1 — Bainbridge Island Ferry Terminal to High School Road..... | 42 |
| 3.2.2. Segment A2 – High School Road to Suquamish Way | 43 |
| 3.2.3. Segment B – Suquamish Way to Hostmark Street | 43 |
| 3.2.4. Segment C – Hostmark Street to Bond Road | 43 |
| 3.2.5. Segment D –Bond Road to SR 3..... | 43 |
| 4. Screening and Evaluation Process..... | 45 |
| 4.1. Tier I Screening Criteria and Results | 45 |
| 4.2. Tier II Screening Criteria and Results | 47 |
| 4.2.1. Alternatives Removed from Further Study | 54 |
| 4.2.2. Mode Alternatives Removed from Further Study | 54 |
| 4.2.3. Alignment Alternatives Removed from Further Study | 54 |
| 4.3. Alternatives Advanced for Further Analysis | 55 |
| 4.3.1. Mode Alternatives Advanced to Tier III Evaluation..... | 55 |
| 4.3.2. Alignment Alternatives Advanced to Tier III Evaluation..... | 55 |
| 4.3.3. Identification of Additional Alternatives..... | 55 |
| 5. Definition of Alternatives..... | 57 |
| 5.1. Alternative 1: No Build | 58 |
| 5.1.1. Overview | 58 |
| 5.1.2. Physical Characteristics | 58 |
| 5.1.3. Operating Characteristics | 63 |
| 5.1.4. Capital Improvements..... | 68 |
| 5.1.5. Operating Costs..... | 69 |
| 5.2. Common Features of the Bus Rapid Transit Alternatives..... | 69 |
| 5.2.1. Alignment..... | 70 |

SR 305 Corridor Enhanced Transit Project

FINAL REPORT: Alternatives Analysis Technical Study Report

KITSAP TRANSIT

5.2.2. Station Locations, Characteristics, Costs..... 70

5.2.3. Vehicle Characteristics..... 74

5.2.4. Future Park-and-Ride Locations and Capacity 77

5.2.5. Storage and Maintenance Requirements..... 78

5.2.6. BRT Operating Plan 78

5.2.7. Operating Costs..... 81

5.2.8. Common Capital Costs of BRT Alternatives 81

5.3. Alternative 2: BRT with Exclusive Reversible Center Lane 83

5.3.1. Overview..... 83

5.3.2. Physical Characteristics 84

5.3.3. Operating Characteristics 87

5.3.4. Operating Costs..... 88

5.4. Alternative 3: BRT with Select Intersection Improvements..... 89

5.4.1. Overview..... 89

5.4.2. Physical Characteristics 89

5.4.3. Operating Characteristics 92

5.4.4. Capital Costs 92

5.4.5. Operating Costs..... 93

5.5. Alternative 4: BRT with Dedicated Northbound Transit (HOV) Lane 93

5.5.1. Overview..... 93

5.5.2. Physical Characteristics 94

5.5.3. Operating Characteristics 97

5.5.4. Capital Costs 97

5.5.5. Operating Costs..... 98

5.6. Alternative 5: BRT with Agate Pass Bridge Access Improvements 99

5.6.1. Overview..... 99

5.6.2. Physical Characteristics 99

5.6.3. Operating Characteristics 102

5.6.4. Capital Costs 102

5.6.5. Operating Costs..... 103

6. Tier III Evaluation Criteria..... 105

6.1. Evaluation Tests..... 105

7. Alternatives Evaluation 111

7.1. Mobility Criteria..... 111

7.2. Reliability Criteria..... 117

7.3. Safety 118

7.4. Costs and Benefits 119

7.5. Supplemental Qualitative Evaluations..... 122

8. Next Steps 125

Appendices

- Appendix A Revised Peak Hour Travel Conditions**
- Appendix B Stakeholder and Public Involvement Results and Comment Summaries**
- Appendix C Traffic Data Collection**
- Appendix D Fall 2008 Ridecheck Results**
- Appendix E Revised Transit and Park-and-Ride Demand**
- Appendix F Traffic Operation Analysis Methodology**
- Appendix G Ridership and User Benefits Methodology**
- Appendix H User Benefit Calculations**

Table of Figures

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Figure 1-1 SR 305 Corridor Study Area | 2 |
| Figure 1-2 SR 305 Alignment Alternatives | 8 |
| Figure 1-3 Tier I Screening Criteria and Results | 9 |
| Figure 1-4 Tier II Screening Criteria and Results | 10 |
| Figure 1-5 No Build (Alt. 1)..... | 13 |
| Figure 1-6 BRT with Exclusive Reversible Center Lane (Alt. 2)..... | 13 |
| Figure 1-7 BRT with Select Intersection Improvements (Alt. 3) | 14 |
| Figure 1-8 BRT with Dedicated Northbound Transit Lane (Alt. 4)..... | 14 |
| Figure 1-9 BRT with Agate Pass Bridge Access Improvements (Alt. 5)..... | 15 |
| Figure 1-10 BRT Operating Concept..... | 15 |
| Figure 1-11 Tier III Evaluation Criteria and Results..... | 16 |
| Figure 1-12 Cost Comparison..... | 17 |
| Figure 1-13 Summary of User Benefits | 18 |
| Figure 1-14 Summary of CEI Calculation..... | 18 |
| Figure 2-1 SR 305 Corridor Study Area | 25 |
| Figure 3-1 SR 305 Alignment Alternatives | 41 |
| Figure 4-1 Tier I Screening Criteria and Results | 46 |
| Figure 4-2 Tier II Screening Criteria | 48 |
| Figure 4-3 Tier II Screening Results | 50 |
| Figure 5-1 No Build Alternative Corridor Overview..... | 60 |
| Figure 5-2 Typical No Build Cross Section..... | 61 |
| Figure 5-3 North Kitsap Park-and-Ride Capacity and Occupancy (August 2006)..... | 63 |
| Figure 5-4 Service Characteristics for Planned Study Area Transit Service | 65 |
| Figure 5-5 Existing Transit Services in the Study Area | 67 |
| Figure 5-6 WSDOT Programmed Projects for SR 305 Corridor | 69 |
| Figure 5-7 Stop Locations for All BRT Alternatives | 70 |
| Figure 5-8 BRT Station Locations | 71 |

SR 305 Corridor Enhanced Transit Project

FINAL REPORT: Alternatives Analysis Technical Study Report

KITSAP TRANSIT

Figure 5-9 Typical BRT Conceptual Station Cross-Section for Side Platforms 74

Figure 5-10 BRT Vehicle Characteristics 76

Figure 5-11 Park-and-Ride Demand (2030) 77

Figure 5-12 BRT Operating Plan Characteristics 79

Figure 5-13 BRT Operating Concept 80

Figure 5-14 Operating Costs..... 81

Figure 5-15 Common BRT Capital Cost Estimates (\$2010) 83

Figure 5-16 Reversible Center Lane Alternative Corridor Overview 86

Figure 5-17 Typical Alternative 2 Cross Section 87

Figure 5-18 Typical BRT Conceptual Station Cross-Section for Center Lane Operation 87

Figure 5-19 Alternative 2 Capital Cost Estimates (\$2010)..... 88

Figure 5-20 Select Intersection Improvements Alternative Corridor Overview..... 91

Figure 5-21 Typical Alternative 3 Cross Section 92

Figure 5-22 Alternative 3 Capital Cost Estimates (\$2010)..... 93

Figure 5-23 Northbound Transit Lane Alternative Corridor Overview 96

Figure 5-24 Typical Alternative 4 Cross Section 97

Figure 5-25 Alternative 4 Capital Cost Estimates (\$2010)..... 97

Figure 5-26 Agate Pass Bridge Access Improvements Alternative Corridor Overview 101

Figure 5-27 Typical Alternative 5 Cross Section 102

Figure 5-28 Alternative 5 Capital Cost Estimates (\$2010)..... 103

Figure 6-1 Tier III Evaluation Criteria 106

Figure 7-1 Tier III Evaluation Criteria Categories 111

Figure 7-2 Transit Travel Time - Winslow Ferry Terminal to Suquamish Way NE 112

Figure 7-3 Automobile Travel Time - Winslow Ferry Terminal to Suquamish Way NE 113

Figure 7-4 Impact on Traffic Circulation in the SR 305 Corridor 113

Figure 7-5 2030 PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service and Delay (Seconds) 116

Figure 7-6 Miles of Dedicated Lanes 117

Figure 7-7 Number of Signalized Intersections with TSP 117

Figure 7-8 Impact on the Safety of Autos, Pedestrians, Bikes and Transit 118

Figure 7-9 Cost Comparison..... 119

Figure 7-10 CEI Calculations 120

Figure 7-11 Operating Costs (Using Hourly Base Service) 120

Figure 7-12 CEI Calculations with Hourly Base Service Sensitivity Test 121

Figure 7-13 Supplemental Qualitative Evaluation Results..... 122